

COMMUNISM IS TREASON!

FIGHT IT WITH ...



Common Sense

AMERICA'S NEWSPAPER AGAINST COMMUNISM

Copyright Registered 1948 United States Patent Office.

Issue No. 383 (17th Year)

April, 15, 1962

Second Class postage paid at Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

FIVE CENTS

"The Truth, the whole
Truth and nothing but
the Truth!"
Without fear or favor.
Candace M. Colley
FOUNDER AND EDITOR

SUBSCRIPTION RATES:

Plain envelope, unsealed \$1.
Plain envelope, sealed \$3.
Foreign & Canada, (10 mos.) \$1.

RH
WL
31354
No 383
1962

Will We Win The Cold War?

By James Webb

I DOUBT IT—and this statement is intended to provide in simple and clear language the reason why we are not winning it.

For sixteen years we have been fighting, and the communist advance is rolling merrily on. Is there at present a ground-swell awakening to a realization of the real peril? Certainly not sufficient unto the cause thereof. In reality the awakening is under the control of the enemy.

We are losing because we are not following the requisite generally accepted military tactics which are standard practice for the waging of any successful war.

Western civilization has, in this century, achieved the highest standard of living with the greatest mechanical improvements, technological advancement and scientific know-how ever experienced by any people in the history of the world.

It was about 1930 when Will Rogers said: "We are the first nation consigned to the poorhouse and able to drive there in our own autos." Today he would probably say we are the only civilization sufficiently enlightened to realize full well what we are doing and that we are rushing pell-mell into the destruction of our nation with our eyes wide open.

And why is it, since no subject has for so long been continuously discussed, explained and exposed as the communist threat and yet it is generally claimed that the people generally are not fully aware of it and do not really understand it?

The ignominious fact is that we are not facing the issue squarely. We have been warned that we must cease fighting on the defensive, go on the offensive, grab the ball and carry it in the direction of our own goal. The all-important point is that in this international game of intrigue both sides are directed by the same team of coaches and they are not on our side.

The large majority of even our most active anti-communists are fighting a King Cobra with a perfumed atomizer, are beating the air with their fists, are fighting the symptoms instead of the disease and whacking away at the branches instead of the main stem and roots. But one example among thousands must suffice: quite recently a very active patriot wrote an article highly praising George Sokolsky for his excellent column on a certain day. However, had he read the issue of Common Sense for Dec. 1st 1961, he would have written in an entirely different strain.

Communism is a snake of such venomous poison that nothing will serve as an effective antidote except whiskey of 100% proof distillation whereas we are applying little more than a poultice of bread and watered-down milk.

There seems to prevail a general belief among working anti-communists that the truth about it is so heinous, that the average individual finds the real truth so incredible and so revolting that it is necessary to withhold from him any dosage of required potency, but that if you give it to him in



mild and advancing strength he will gradually learn the real and basic facts. This militates against, and is contrary to all of my experience and observations on the subject. This is false and it is my conviction that their motivation is mainly one of regard for public opinion, loss of popularity and deference to human respect. They want to stay with the loaves and the fishes, but are afraid to meet in the "Upper Room" for fear of the so-called "Jews."

We who are not willing to live by compromise with the eternal Truth are constantly criticized from JFK on down and charged with seeing communists under every bed. Yet the British recently set up a commission to inquire just how many beds Soviet agents are hiding under. And if Kennedy or anyone else, with honest eyes, and a 20-20 intellectual vision, will take a close look he will find that under multi-varied guises this critter is actually under every proverbial bed.

I have devoted many years to a study of the subject and agree with all of our foremost anti-communists that all tendrils and facets of this Satanic world conspiracy, stem from the international Zionist money and credit-cartel which is in complete control of every phase of our national, cultural and economic life, and all this even according to their own endless evidence and countless records.

This is truth that we must lay in detail before the public. But this requires the faith and courage of a Peter after his repentance. I am the Light, the Life, and the Truth, says our Lord and by the truth He did not mean part of it, or half of it or most of it, but all of it. Less than the whole truth is, in this matter, only self ruinous and condemning.

Faith does not truly live in the heart of a man and in the heart of a nation until the believer is willing to risk everything for the principle he has espoused. If through cowardice and caution and expedience and compromise he holds back, then the thing he calls faith becomes acid in his hands, poison in his heart and death to his soul.

Let us therefore encourage and spread Common Sense, that courageously and fearlessly tells the whole truth, and if our devoted patriots will unhesitatingly and un-

flinchingly devote themselves without reserve to the name of Christ and to the traditions of our civilization, and to the lofty principle of faith as above stated, then and not until then can we hope to arouse the public to action and having aroused them, they will know how to act and to apply the remedy that alone can reverse the trend and save us from self-ruin.

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT

Front For Invisible Government

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT sent Gen. George C. Marshall to China to force Chiang Kai-shek to accept communists into his cabinet, and the State Department then ordered the ammunition on the way to Chiang's government to be dumped in the ocean. Hence, the Chinese government fell to the communists and 650 million Chinese were put in slavery and more than 30 million have been murdered by communists.

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT used similar pressure in Korea; hence, General MacArthur was destroyed as our top military man and we lost the Korean war to the communists.

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT refused to help the Hungarian Freedom Fighters in their revolt against communism and stopped Spain from sending troops to help the Freedom Fighters escape slavery under communism.

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT undermined Batista and helped set up Castro. Later the CIA organized the invasion to include air coverage. As the Cuban patriots landed, OUR STATE DEPARTMENT ordered the Air Force to abandon them. This forced our landing crafts to abandon the patriots to slaughter and capture of 1180 trusting men. A clever trick to discourage future attacks on communist Cuba.

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT, working with the C.I.A., maneuvered the murder of Trujillo, forced his whole family to leave their country where they were born. The Dominican Republic, which vigorously opposed communism and had no national debt and a clean, orderly country, is in revolution—bankrupt and on the way to a take-over by Castro's communist government.

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT, working through the United Nations, has been spending millions of dollars of taxpayers' money to force Katanga to come under communist dominated Congo government.

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT is now bringing pressure to cause Dutch New Guinea to surrender to Red Sukarno.

Dean Rusk, Secretary of OUR STATE DEPARTMENT has been having dozens of secret talks with the Soviet Union dignitaries, trying to figure a way to let Germany fall to the Bolsheviks—but it must be done in a way the public will not know Germany was betrayed.

—o— Please turn to page 4 —o—

Kennedy - Khrushchev TV Exchange

Republished from
THE UKRAINIAN BULLETIN

The proposed TV exchange interview by President Kennedy and Dictator Khrushchev is more a matter of times than of necessity. We do not hesitate to express our doubts as to the usefulness and purpose of such a TV interview exchange out of a conviction that the Soviet side will benefit by it and ours will not.

First of all, the technical facilities in the Soviet Union are far below the technical level of our far-flung TV network. If Mr. Khrushchev appears on our TV, he will reach practically every American from all walks of life. President Kennedy over the Soviet TV system will certainly have a much smaller and, quite possibly, a deliberately limited audience. For a nation noted for its Yankee horsetrading, this proposed exchange is hardly up to par.

What Should Mr. Kennedy Say to the People of the U.S.S.R.?

Assuming that everything will be arranged satisfactorily and even assuming that the Kremlin will abide by the conditions of the agreement, what then will our President say to the people of the Soviet Russian totalitarian empire?


We strongly fear that some of the President's "Soviet advisers" may fail, as they usually do, to display any knowledge of the nature and character of the Soviet empire. Therefore, we should like to make some suggestions which, if followed, would save much embarrassment in the future for all concerned.

THE U.S.S.R.—NOT A NATION, BUT PRISON HOUSE OF NATIONS

One of the first suggestions is that the Soviet Union should not be identified as "Russia" and in addressing the people of the U.S.S.R. they absolutely should not be called "Russians." It has long been a woeful habit on the part of Americans, even non-experts, to call the U.S.S.R. "Russia" and its inhabitants "Russians." In many Ameri-



John F. Kennedy



Nikita Khrushchev

can magazines, over the radio and in telecasting this gross distortion of the fact continues to be prevalent, despite numerous protests on the part of Ukrainian Americans and knowledgeable publications and individual citizens. Even Khrushchev himself on his numerous public appearances always speaks of "the peoples of the Soviet Union" (narody Sovietskogo Soyuza), or the "Soviet peoples" (sovieti narody). He never uses the term, "the Russian people," to designate the population of the Soviet Union, for the simple reason that over half of the peoples of the U.S.S.R. know themselves to be other than Russians.

Therefore, President Kennedy would score a powerful victory from the psychological viewpoint, if he would name and speak to all the peoples of the U.S.S.R.—Russians, Ukrainians, Byelorussians, Balts, Georgians, Armenians, Jews, Azerbaijanis and Turkmenis. This would endear him to a hundred million people of the Soviet Union who are not or do not intend to be, by any stretch of imagination or historical truth, Russian.

Such an approach at long last by a President of the United States would give the captive nations a ray of hope that the greatest bastion of freedom in the world does indeed realize that the non-Russian nations are subjugated, and are not voluntary citizens of the despotic communist empire.

Another suggestion immediately follows. It is that President Kennedy ignore the "experts" and follow up on his awareness of the true structure and character of the Soviet Union. President Kennedy is on record as firmly standing in defense of the captive nations and against Soviet Russian colonialism. In his numerous pronouncements both as a U.S. Senator and the President of the United States, Mr. Kennedy was strikingly articulate on the rights of all the captive nations to freedom and national self-determination.

Therefore, he should state unequivocally in his TV interview that the United States will always support the captive nations in their quest of emancipation and freedom.

FDR and FUTURE of EUROPE

Republished from Cincinnati Inquirer
By Forrest Davis

Washington: The apologists for Franklin D. Roosevelt's surrender of Western interest at Teheran and Yalta explain that a voracious Stalin brutally betrayed the wartime President's hopes for a friendly concert of the victorious powers dealing humanely with the conquered.

NEW LIGHT CAST

Roosevelt, in this version of a lost peace, misunderstood Russian semantics and Bolshevik dynamism alike. Roosevelt thus appears as the fateful victim of Stalin's barbarism along with the Balts, the Poles, the East Germans, Czechs, Slovaks, Hungarians — all of Eastern Europe in truth.

A sharp and persuasive rebuttal to the legend of an innocent Roosevelt is now receiving the careful study of historians and political specialists seeking the origins of the West's current perplexities and dangers in Germany. The new insight occurs in the best-selling "The Cardinal Spellman Story," an illuminating life of the influential New York churchman by the Rev. Robert I. Gannon, S.J.

The relevant revelation of Pres. Roosevelt's attitude toward the postwar role of Stalin's despotism (chapter 13 of the biography, published by Doubleday) is based on a two-page typewritten memorandum of an hour-and-a-half private talk at the White House.

LEARN OF LANDING

Monsignor Spellman, a close wartime associate of the President's and often used by him for discussions with the See of Rome, was an overnight White House guest.

Winston Churchill, also a guest, received the honor of a formal dinner that night.

Word came that Field Marshal Montgomery had landed two divisions from Sicily on the mainland of Italy. The invasion of Europe had begun. Roosevelt, his hopes high, was preoccupied with the kind of world that would emerge from the war. This was early September of 1943. The President and the prime minister had just met at Quebec for the purpose of strengthening the alliance with Stalin.

That the President was aflame at this time with political postwar plans I can testify.

He gave me leave to publish in a national magazine two articles under the title, "Roosevelt's Grand Design," in which he sketched his desires for a postwar coalition of the Big Four—the USSR, the United Kingdom, the Republic of China and the United States—which would divide the earth into spheres of interest and rule the lesser states through a council of the United Nations.

ASSIGNED TO MOSCOW

Mr. Roosevelt, while affirming faith in Stalin's good will, did not disclose to me, as he obviously did to the archbishop of New York, an eagerness to confide Europe's fate to Moscow however irksome it might be to the populations involved.

It is this mood of irresponsible acceptance of Soviet domination reflected in the Spellman memoir which affords new light on the fate of Europe and gives the document its high significance. For, as the prelate dictated his understanding of the conversation at the time, the President not only supposed that postwar Russia would be too powerful for the United States to challenge in Europe but he showed no regret at the thought of folding much of Europe into a Communist imperium.

The Roosevelt revealed in the Spellman paper excluded Churchill from a final disposition of the world because "Churchill is too idealistic, he (Roosevelt) is a realist. So is Stalin." (The Teheran conference, where Roosevelt shouldered the prime minister aside in favor of Stalin, was soon to come.) Quoting from Spellman:

"The wish is, although it seems improbable, to get from Stalin a pledge not to extend Russian territory beyond a certain line. He would certainly receive: Finland, the Baltic states, the eastern half of Poland, Bessarabia. There is no point to oppose these desires of Stalin, because he has the power to get them anyhow. So better give them gracefully."

Further, Mr. Roosevelt's division of spheres having conceded continental Europe to Moscow, there seemed little likelihood that Russian Communism could be expected to halt its expansion westward. France, under the Socialist Leon Blum's popular-front government, might escape. The President, answering a query from his guest, vetoed

WHY DO THE UKRAINIANS COMPLAIN?

Republished from—

THE UKRAINIAN BULLETIN

March 15, 1962

Editors Note: Following are excerpts from the speech of Hon. J. N. Mandziuk, Canadian M.P., delivered in Toronto on January 21, 1962, on the occasion of Ukraine's 44th independence anniversary.

In the free world one wonders why Ukrainians complain. Shall I tell you why? Because:

1. The members of the Ukrainian Government are appointed by Moscow, carry out the will and whims of the conquerors and are dismissed or liquidated by the Kremlin's master of the day.

2. Ukraine has no control over its natural resources.

3. Ukraine has a Minister of Defense, but no army.

4. Ukraine has a Minister of Agriculture—who grows more food for Russia.

5. Ukraine has a Minister of External Affairs but no diplomatic representative in any country.

6. Ukraine has a Minister of Finance but no currency—he is just a tax collector for Moscow.

7. Ukraine's official language is Russian.

(Editor's Note. The official language in Ukraine is Ukrainian, — but for all practical purposes Russian is being used in the government of the Ukrainian S.S.R., as the "superior language.")

IS THIS INDEPENDENCE? IS THIS SOVEREIGNTY?

The Ukrainian Soviet delegation at the U.N. is appointed by Moscow and is subservient to the delegation of the U.S.S.R. Just puppets. (1) That is why thousands refused to be repatriated. (2) That is why thousands fled at the risk of life and limb. (3) This is why those who found refuge in the free world plead on behalf of their enslaved brethren and cry to high heaven for justice and freedom.

Yet Ambassador Aroutunian, heading the Soviet Embassy in Ottawa, is reported to have ridiculed our P.M. for his address to the ethnic groups in this city. He is reported to have told the press that Diefenbaker's remarks about Soviet colonialism made a "humorous impression on him." He denied colonialism. Oh yes, he is an Armenian and heads the Soviet Embassy in Canada. But is Armenia free and independent? He said that the Embassy's Military Attaché is a Ukrainian, but you write a letter to the Embassy and your reply is invariably in Russian.

His Excellency can keep on repeating that there is no colonialism under the red flag—the world does not believe him. We resent his criticism of Mr. Diefenbaker's speech as not quite in accordance with the international code of ethics. It is hardly worthy of an Ambassador of a great Empire to criticize the head of State to which he is accredited. But it is not surprising—his leader did worse.

No colonialism behind the Iron Curtain? Is anyone gullible enough to believe that? The Hungarians raised the flag of freedom in 1956 only to be crushed by Soviet tanks. The Poles had some such idea but gave it up. The East Germans would still be fleeing by the thousands but for the "wall of shame" built by the Bolsheviks. Why are Russian divisions stationed in almost every satellite and in every so-called free and independent state of the Soviet Union? To protect these conquered people against what? Against becoming free?

Ukrainians are not alone in raising their voices, pleading for justice and freedom for



Hon. J. N. Mandziuk

the land of their fathers. We all know that the Baltic peoples, the Lithuanians, the Poles, the Czechs, and the Slovaks celebrate their national days, they too pray for the day when their motherland will be liberated.

Since the end of World War II, a worldwide Ukrainian movement directed towards winning the sympathy and support of the western democracies for the cause of Ukrainian liberty has become manifest. Is there hope? Are we just trying to fool ourselves? Is the world deaf to the pleas of millions? I believe there is hope in a united effort of all the enslaved peoples. Because:

1. The world and Ukraine's neighbors know that of the enslaved nations, Ukraine is the largest territorially, has the largest population and in natural resources is the wealthiest.

2. Moscow grew into a great power and was able to subjugate other nations only after the complete conquest of Ukraine—the Czars knew that and the Red Dictators know that.

3. May I repeat that after the Bolshevik Revolution the Reds grabbed for Ukraine first. Why should not other captive nations work hand in hand with the Ukrainian movement? They cannot fear a free Ukrainian State. (1) Ukrainians never had any territorial designs on their neighbors and (2) their history is steeped in democratic traditions. This being so, should it not be in the interest of all the other enslaved nations to see the dismemberment of the Soviet Empire to the extent of seeing a free Ukraine?

It is evident that the force of Ukrainian liberty and democracy are gathering great momentum. The solution of the Ukrainian problem is bound up with the collapse of not only the Red regime but in the collapse of Moscow as a great power, and upon that event depends the ultimate triumph of liberty and democracy for all nations on the other side of the Iron Curtain.

Today Ukraine extends an outstretched hand to its neighbors and appeals to the free world for sympathy and support.

In closing may I say that until recent years the protests and pleas of the enslaved peoples fell upon deaf ears. Some preferred to treat this world problem as a domestic affair of the Kremlin. Others did not realize the Red menace and saw no danger in its expansion.

SCORES U.S. SECRETARY OF STATE

The most glaring example today is Mr. Dean Rusk, Secretary of State of the United States of America and the President's chief adviser, who is reported to have asked the House of Representatives to delete the name of Ukraine from the list of enslaved nations on the grounds that Ukraine is traditionally a part of the Soviet Union, thereby denying the right of those 45 million to self deter-

mination and independence. Such a policy suits the Czars of the Kremlin who under the guise of protecting Europe against German militarism can continue to expand their colonial empire. What a crime some people are committing against a race with 800 years of independent history and a democratic form of government and yet pose as champions of freedom to nations just newly-born—what a paradox!

This was most frustrating and discouraging to the Ukrainians and other peoples to be written off, while freedom was encouraged in other parts of the world.

To the Ukrainians it was and still is most frustrating to see lip service paid to the question of liberation of the satellites but to suffer efforts to stifle the Ukrainian liberation movement at every step on the false theory that Russia and Ukraine are one and indivisible and that the Soviet Union must be kept powerful to counterbalance the threat of German militarism.

The world now knows what a powerful Russia can do and is waking up. In this awakening, I am proud to say that Canada takes the lead. Its statesmen, its leaders, have not minced words.

Hence with the awakening will come sympathy and support for Ukraine's cause. In the words of Rt. Hon. J. G. Diefenbaker's resolution to the NATO Conference in Paris: "The suppression of their liberties will not last forever."

- EXTREME RIGHT -

In so-called modern language an "EXTREME RIGHTIST" is:-

1. One who believes in America as a sovereign nation.
2. One who believes the INDIVIDUAL to be SUPERIOR to any organization.
3. One who speaks of our Forefathers with reverence, and will NOT succumb to brainwash which attempts to discredit them with such idioms as "agrarians" and "un-schooled farmers."
4. One who will never agree to changes in our Constitution which will reduce the status of these United States to be a MERE UNIT in any world organization, such as the socialistic United Nations.
5. One who will never accept the international-socialistic one world philosophy of the U.N., or the so-called philosophy of any other world wide sinister clan, even though such clans have been supported by the last four presidents.
6. One who is not scared by the propaganda (lies being mouthed through the controlled U.S. information media) concerning the real or imaginary superior might of the Kremlin gangsters.
7. One who will never sacrifice Principles to co-exist with anyone, local or foreign.
8. One who would vote to reduce the size of our government because it has been inflated out of proportion to our need.
9. One who believes (considering the present state of knowledge in America) that there is need for less government.
10. One who is a United States Patriot, and stands for the American Flag and Freedom first, last, and always.

The writer finds he is an "EXTREME RIGHTIST" 100% and is bringing up his kids to be the same.

The sooner we get these United States off the CRAZY U.N. SKIDS, the easier will be the climb back to U.S. CONSTITUTIONAL SANITY.

Ted Billings

HATE GERMAN FILMS

Just Who Are The Hatemongers?

Every week a number of hate German films are shown on TV. The average citizen is puzzled as to why these films are being forced into our homes seventeen years after the war in which 70% of buildings in German cities were destroyed and millions bombed to death, crippled and made homeless. The German people are now on the front line in the effort by the non-communist countries to hold the communists behind their lines and prevent them from drenching Europe in blood.

The Soviet Union has been tenacious in their efforts to bluff the U.S. into relaxing their efforts in backing West Germany in resisting takeover by the communists.

Here at home, we have groups working to condition the public, so that our State Department feels safe in betraying West Germany into communist slavery, just as the same State Department did with China. It is apparent to those of us who keep informed that there is a group surrounding President Kennedy who wants to maneuver Germans under communism, but do it in a way the public will think unavoidable.

The organized Jewish groups are active; the American public must be brainwashed to where it is believed the Germans are not worth saving.

The Jewish-owned movie industry and TV are busy. Jewish writers are busy writing clever plays in order to lower the Germans in the eyes of America. Plays such as "NUREMBERG TRIAL" are being turned out. Fiction books from pocket size 50¢ to \$10.00 hard-cover books are filled with false propaganda paying the writer a big profit and misinforming the reader.

The three TV networks are Jewish-owned and are turning out hate German films every week. NOT HATE COMMUNISM, but HATE GERMAN. NBC network Robert Sarnoff (Chairman), CBS Wm. S. Paley (Palinsky, Chairman), ABC Leonard Goldenson (Chairman). These "so-called" Jews control the network and Newton N. Minow, Federal Communications Commissioner, controls the air.

Anyone who can think at all knows that should the rest of Germany go communist all Europe would fall to the Reds immediately and the remaining free countries will rush to surrender to Godless, gangster communists to prevent slaughter.

What can you do to stop the Jewish TV from slipping these hate films into our living rooms? Boycott the hate movies like they were the yellow fever. Make careful note of name of the sponsors of these TV pictures and look up their address and write them that you will not buy their product and you will advertise this to your friends if they ever sponsor another one.

This is their soft spot and they will surrender.

Here is one to start on: Proctor and Gamble, Cincinnati, Ohio, sponsored "CONFESIONS OF A NAZI SPY" Monday, March 5, Columbia Broadcasting Co. They make soap and tooth paste.

SENATOR CLARK ON HATE FILMS

Of Hollywood, Senator B. C. Clark asserted on the 10th day of September 1941 (3 months before "planned" Pearl Harbor), that half a dozen men controlling the film industry were bent on inflaming the American people to clamor for war.

The London Daily Express reported, 11th September, 1941:

"Appearing before a Senate Committee investigating propaganda in films, he (Senator Clark) said the industry was turning out dozens of pictures to infect the minds of their audiences with hatred to arouse their emotions. America's 17,000 cinemas virtually constitute

daily and nightly mass meetings for war." (with 82 million weekly attending).

See PEARL HARBOR TREASON
(Dec. 1958 American Mercury, p. 113)

AN OPEN LETTER TO-

WILLIAM BUCKLEY - RICHARD NIXON
SENATOR GOLDWATER - WALTER JUDD
AND SO-CALLED "CONSERVATIVES"

5 March, 1962

Gentlemen:

Why should you be so concerned over Mr. Robert Welch as leader of The John Birch Society? You are not members. As I see it, it is no concern of yours. I am sure that the members of The John Birch Society are very pleased with Mr. Welch's leadership. And it seems to me that is the only important thing.

I have never heard that the members of The John Birch Society have told the Republicans to get rid of Eisenhower and Rockefeller because they are hurting the G.O.P. Although, I am sure some of them would like to do so.

The John Birch Society seems to be attending to its own business and I am confident it will continue to do so whether you like it or not. And, they probably would appreciate it if you did likewise.

You all admit there are some very fine people in The John Birch Society. That is big of you. I agree with you. And, I am persuaded that their judgment is equally as sound as yours.

Sincerely,
Annalee Stratemeyer
Box 424,
Winter Park, Florida

- LITTLE BOY BLUE -

March, 13, 1962

Mr. William F. Buckley, Jr., Editor
National Review
130 E. 35th Street,
New York, New York

Dear Sir:

So, Little Boy Blue could no longer restrain his ego-manical impulses. Disregarding seasoned advice he simply had to castigate Robert Welch and those members of The John Birch Society who refuse to suit him.

The conspiratorial aspect of the communist threat is low-rated by Little Boy Blue. But it was not thus treated by Senator Joe McCarthy. Nor by the Jenner Committee in 1953, who said:

"The Soviet international organization has carried on a successful and important penetration of the United States government and this penetration has not been fully exposed. This penetration has extended from the lower ranks to top-level policy and operating positions in our government."

.... Policies and programs laid down by members of this Soviet conspiracy are still in effect within our government and constitute a continuing hazard in our national security."

Nor by Attorney-General Kennedy who told us last September:

"Communist espionage here in this Country, is more active now than it has ever been."

Nor by F.B.I. Director J. Edgar Hoover, in these words:

"To dismiss lightly the existence of the subversive threat in the United States is to deliberately commit national suicide. In some quarters we are surely doing that."

Nevertheless Little Boy Blue tries to split the conservatives with the divide and conquer strategy of the communists.

To say that such insolence and stupidity is displeasing to an old coot who bare-knuckled the communist-infested National Labor Relations Board to the floor when Little Boy Blue was romping in his nursery is perhaps an understatement.

However, this message closes with a cost-saving suggestion. Remove my name from your hand-out list. Then when you next start out with your little tin cup begging for contributions, save yourself some postage. Don't even try to extract another thousand bucks from me, as I have no appetite for irresponsible, juvenile egotism.

Sincerely yours,
A. G. Heinsohn, Jr.
Sevierville, Tenn.

Our State Department

—o— Continued from page 1 —o—

OUR STATE DEPARTMENT is still active in forcing communism on other countries as evidenced by the following editorial by the largest paper in America:

NEW YORK DAILY NEWS

March 27, 1962:

"CAN WE HAVE IT BOTH WAYS?"

In Laos, the United States is pressuring Premier Boun Oum to let communists into important positions in his government.

In South Viet Nam, next door to Laos, we're helping Premier Ngo Dinh Diem fight the North Viet Nam Reds, with nothing said about Diem letting Reds help him run his government.

It hardly seems surprising that more than a few Southeast Asians wonder what U.S. foreign policy is, or whether the U.S. has any foreign policy at all."

After the Fort Monmouth Red exposure, Senator Joe McCarthy said he was heading for the STATE DEPARTMENT, whose well-known Red Infiltration was master-minded by the communists, and the DEFENSE DEPARTMENT, which had been liberally saturated with Reds, by the former Assistant Secretary of Defense, Anna M. Rosenberg. So THE INVISIBLE GOVERNMENT ruled that McCarthy had to go.

Is there no one left to take up where Senator Joe McCarthy left off?

And yet some uninformed writers would have you believe there is no threat from the inside of the United States.

FDR and FUTURE of EUROPE

—o— Continued from page 2 —o—

the idea of supporting anti-Communist elements in these countries against Communist absorption.

Magnifying Russia's industrial achievements, minimizing Anglo-American power, Mr. Roosevelt feared that "the European people will simply have to endure Russian domination in the hope that in 10 or 20 years they will be able to live well with the Russians." Given this cheerful acquiescence, how could Stalin have resisted the desire to move west?

Common Sense*

Union, New Jersey, U.S.A.

Conde McGinley, Editor

One year's subscription, \$1; Three years for \$2.50. First Class (Sealed) — \$3.00 per year. Foreign, 10 months, \$1.00.

In BULK, same issue or assorted

25 Copies — \$1.00

100 Copies — 3.00

500 Copies — 10.00

Common Sense*

Published twice monthly except July and August, once each, by Christian Educational Assn.

530 Chestnut Street, Union, N.J.

*Trade Mark Registered U.S. Patent Office